

1614 Walterboro Street West Columbia, SC 29170

**Grades** 6-8 Middle School

**Enrollment** 569 Students

PrincipalDixon Brooks803-822-5660SuperintendentVenus J. Holland, Ed. D.803-739-8399

Board Chair Rick Shull 803-796-9172

# 2010 REPORT CARD

## **RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD**

ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
Good	Good
Good	Average
Average	Average
Average	Below Average
Average	At-Risk
	<b>Good</b> Good Average Average

### **DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS**

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov R H FULMER MIDDLE 03/09/11-3202012


## Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2009-10 whose 2008-09 test scores were located

97.9%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*							
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk			
1	9	51	1	0			

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/09/2011.



<sup>\*</sup> Middle schools with Students Like Ours are middle schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of	Critical Terms
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

R H FULMER MIDDLE 03/09/11-3202012

# End of Course Tests

Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	97.0%	96.6%
English 1	100.0%	97.9%
Physical Science	N/A	73.8%
US History and the Constitution	N/A	N/A
All Subjects	98.5%	96.7%

School Profile				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=569)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	17.1%	Down from 22.6%	24.8%	24.2%
Retention rate	0.0%	Down from 0.2%	0.7%	0.7%
Attendance rate	96.5%	Up from 96.3%	95.6%	95.9%
Eligible for gifted and talented	23.8%	Down from 26.9%	18.7%	16.4%
With disabilities other than speech	19.0%	Up from 16.3%	13.3%	12.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.2%	Down from 1.3%	2.2%	2.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.2%	No Change	0.7%	0.5%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=36)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	75.0%	Up from 72.5%	60.0%	58.5%
Continuing contract teachers	86.1%	Up from 85.0%	81.8%	80.0%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	3.0%	Down from 5.4%	2.5%	4.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	84.6%	Up from 83.1%	85.7%	84.6%
Teacher attendance rate	94.7%	Down from 96.3%	95.3%	95.4%
Average teacher salary*	\$50,796	Up 5.8%	\$46,928	\$46,561
Professional development days/teacher	16.8 days	Up from 15.4 days	10.2 days	10.2 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	21.8 to 1	Up from 16.5 to 1	21.6 to 1	21.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.2%	Down from 92.1%	90.2%	90.4%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	96.4%	Down from 100.0%	98.1%	98.1%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$7,509	Up 1.2%	\$7,670	\$7,802
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	68.8%	Down from 72.0%	64.5%	63.8%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	67.0%	Down from 69.6%	61.2%	60.0%

<sup>\*</sup> Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

R H FULMER MIDDLE 03/09/11-3202012

## Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

The 2009-2010 school year was a very successful one for Fulmer Middle School both academically and athletically. Our school was recognized as a Palmetto Gold and Silver Showcase School by the State Department of Education and our athletic teams won two district championships. We also were runner-ups in the Palmetto Athletic Conference in football and volleyball. We were excited to learn that our school was one of three to earn an overall school rating of "Good" out of our comparison group of 58 middle schools like ours. In addition, we continue to be recognized as a South Carolina Red Carpet School.

Our fine arts department continued to shine in all areas. The visual arts department had one student win awards at the State Fair Art Show and many others had their art displayed. The band received an "Excellent" rating at the SCBDA Concert Festival. Our band, chorus, and strings students won numerous "Superior" and "Excellent" awards at their respective Solo and Ensemble competitions. In addition, we had students selected for All-State and Region Bands.

Our Technology Student Association continued to make us proud by finishing second overall at the State competition. Numerous students finished the competition as first and second place winners. In addition, our Gateway To Technology program continues to prepare our students for future engineering opportunities and these students were rewarded with a MCEC Bright Ideas Grant.

Our students continue to achieve academically. We had two students qualify as Duke TIP Scholars, eight students qualify as South Carolina Junior Scholars, eleven qualify as Myrdis Walker Scholars, and over ninety-five students qualify for Junior Honor Society. Our teachers continue to collaboratively plan their instruction and create common assessments to assess students' mastery of the content standards. Using Mastery Manager, teachers evaluate their test results to know which content areas and students need additional time. This is just a small part of our emphasis on providing our students with a guaranteed and viable curriculum.

Our outstanding faculty and staff includes nine National Board Certified teachers and one NBCT candidate. Jennifer Brucker, one of our special education teachers, represented Fulmer Middle School as our Teacher of the Year. Our staff also continued our partnership with the University of South Carolina by serving as both a practicum site and clinical site for future teachers.

At Fulmer Middle School, our focus is student learning, which is achieved through the partnership and collaborative efforts of teachers, students, and parents. We will continue to analyze our assessment data for the purpose of improving our practices and to ensure that we reach our achievement goals as we continue our efforts as a true Professional Learning Community.

Dixon Brooks, Principal Sheryl Lorick, SIC Chair

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents									
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*						
Number of surveys returned	32	166	79						
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.5%	77.7%	84.6%						
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	93.8%	81.8%	76.9%						
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	65.6%	84.8%	72.2%						

<sup>\*</sup> Only students at the highest middle school grade level and their parents were included.

R H FULMER MIDDLE 03/09/11-3202012

### No Child Left Behind

# School Adequate Yearly Progress

NO

This school met 20 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

## School Improvement Status

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.0%	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.4%	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes
Student attendance rate	96.5%	94.0%*	Yes

<sup>\*</sup> Or greater than last year

INTIT OLIVILIN MIDDLE								03/	03/11-02	202012
PASS Performance By	y Group									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary*	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Lang	uage Ar	ts - Stat	e Perfor	mance	Objectiv	e = 58.	8% (Me	t or Exe	mplary)	
All Students	560	98.9	26.9	35.3	37.8	82.7	81.6	83.5	Yes	Yes
Gender										
Male	297	98.7	29.2	35.8	35	80.3	76.7	80.1	N/A	N/A
Female	263	99.2	24.3	34.7	41	85.4	86.5	87	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	349	99.1	20.4	34.1	45.4	87.5	89.5	89.6	Yes	Yes
African American	182	98.4	41.4	37.7	21	72.8	70.9	74.6	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	81.8	92.7	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	22	100	25	31.3	43.8	75	74.7	79.6	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	85.1	I/S	I/S
Disability Status										
Disabled	99	99	65.1	20.9	14	57	52.3	51.7	Yes	Yes
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	69.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	72.1	79	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	337	100	35.4	35.4	29.3	76.2	75.4	76.9	Yes	Yes
Mathema	atics - S	tate Per	forman	ce Obje	ctive = 5	7.8% (I	Met or E	xempla	ry)	
All Students	560	98.9	36.6	38.2	25.1	73.9	77.9	80.4	Yes	Yes
Gender										
Male	297	98.7	38.7	36.1	25.2	72.3	75	78.4	N/A	N/A
Female	263	99.2	34.3	40.6	25.1	75.7	80.9	82.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	349	99.1	28.7	40.2	31.1	80.2	86.1	87.8	Yes	Yes
African American	182	98.4	54.3	32.7	13	59.9	65.6	69.3	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	95.5	93.5	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	22	100	37.5	37.5	25	75	74.1	78.3	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	83.2	I/S	I/S
Disability Status				46.5						v
Disabled	99	99	76.7	16.3	7	36	45.6	46.1	No	Yes
Migrant Status								=/ :		
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	71.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	73.3	78.9	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status	007	400	45	00.5	45.4	00.0	74.5	70.0	· ·	V
Subsidized meals	337	100	45	39.5	15.4	66.9	71.5	72.8	Yes	Yes

<sup>\*</sup> Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

R H FULMER MIDDLE							03/09/11-	3202012
PASS Performance By	Group							
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary
			Scien	се				
All Students	380	99.2	24.3	43.7	32	75.7	67.5	67.3
Gender								
Male	187	98.9	23.8	45.9	30.2	76.2	66.6	66.9
Female	193	99.5	24.7	41.6	33.7	75.3	68.4	67.7
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	230	99.6	17.9	42.7	39.4	82.1	80	79.6
African American	129	98.5	37.1	45.7	17.2	62.9	51	49.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	73.1	84.4
Hispanic	17	100	25	50	25	75	55.2	59.4
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	69.5
Disability Status								
Disabled	70	95.7	61.7	28.3	10	38.3	36.8	33.8
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	52.2	58.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	236	98.7	32.9	44.7	22.4	67.1	58.8	55.4
			Social St	tudies				
All Students	369	99.7	18	22.4	59.6	82	72	70.9
Gender	000	00.1	10	LL. I	00.0	0L	12	70.0
Male	200	99.5	17.3	18.4	64.3	82.7	72.2	70.1
Female	169	100	18.8	27.3	53.9	81.2	71.8	71.7
Racial/Ethnic Group		100			-	•		
White	239	100	15.9	19.5	64.6	84.1	80	79.2
African American	112	99.1	23.5	29.6	46.9	76.5	61.3	58.4
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	86.7	86.8
Hispanic	13	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	63.1	68
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	71.2
Disability Status								
Disabled	57	98.3	56.3	27.1	16.7	43.8	47.4	39.3
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	55
English Proficiency								

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 5

214

I/S

99.5

I/S

23.1

I/S

26.7

I/S

50.3

I/S

76.9

61.9

64.4

68

60.8

R H FULMER MIDDLE 03/09/11-3202012										
PASS Performance By Group										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	petse1 %	% Not Met	met %	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Writing						
All Students	580	96.7	23.6	47.6	28.7	76.4	70.5	72.1	96.5	98.6
Gender										
Male	309	96.8	27.1	49.5	23.4	72.9	62.3	65.2	96.3	98.6
Female	271	96.7	19.6	45.5	34.9	80.4	78.8	79.2	96.7	98.7

Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	354	98.3	20.7	44.5	34.8	79.3	79.1	80.8	95.8	98.4
African American	196	94.4	31.4	52.8	15.7	68.6	58.9	59.7	97.5	98.8
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	79.5	87	98	99.5
Hispanic	22	90.9	14.3	64.3	21.4	85.7	62.4	64.6	97.2	99.3
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	73.4	99.5	98.7
Disability Status										
Disabled	95	87.4	70.8	27.8	1.4	29.2	30.7	27.7	96.3	98.5
Migrant Status										
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	63.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	58	63.7	98.8	99.4
Socio-Economic Status										

Subsidized meals

277 97.1 30.6 50.8 18.6 69.4 61.1 61.9 95.9

KTTFOLIVIER IVIIDDLE 05/03/11-3202012									
PASS Performance By Grade Level									
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary		
English/Language Arts									
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
120	6	193	100	22.5	45.5	32	77.5		
	7	179	100	28	41.5	30.5	72		
	8	173	100	26.1	41.6	32.3	73.9		
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2010	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7(	6	173	98.8	25.8	37.7	36.5	74.2		
	7	196	99.5	26.7	35	38.3	73.3		
	8	191	98.4	28.2	33.3	38.5	71.8		
Mathematics									
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7	6	193	100	29.2	43.8	27	70.8		
	7	179	100	32.3	37.8	29.9	67.7		
	8	173	100	35.4	37.3	27.3	64.6		
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2010	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	6	173	98.8	32.7	37.7	29.6	67.3		
	7	196	99.5	36.1	41.7	22.2	63.9		
	8	191	98.4	40.8	35.1	24.1	59.2		
				Science					
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7(	6	100	99	26.9	62.4	10.8	73.1		
	7	179	100	19.5	52.4	28	80.5		
	8	86	98.8	18.8	42.5	38.8	81.3		
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2010	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
0	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	6	89	98.9	31.7	54.9	13.4	68.3		
	7	195	100	20	40	40	80		
	8	96	97.9	26.1	40.9	33	73.9		

1711 GEWIEN WIDDLE 65/09/11-5202012										
PASS Performance By Grade Level										
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary			
Social Studies										
2010 2009	3 4 5 6 7 8 3 4 5 6 7	N/A N/A N/A 93 179 87 0 0 0 82	N/AV N/AV N/AV 100 100 98.9 N/A N/A N/A 100	N/A N/A N/A 17.6 22 11.4 N/A N/A N/A 7.9	N/A N/A N/A 36.5 26.2 48.1 N/A N/A N/A 10.5	N/A N/A N/A 45.9 51.8 40.5 N/A N/A N/A 81.6 50	N/A N/A N/A 82.4 78 88.6 N/A N/A N/A 92.1 75			
	8	92	98.9	12 Writing	27.7	60.2	88			
2009	3 4 5 6 7 8	N/A N/A N/A 192 185 175	N/AV N/AV N/AV 96.4 97.8 97.7	N/A N/A N/A 21.8 35.3 19.6	N/A N/A N/A 46 34.7 40.5	N/A N/A N/A 32.2 29.9 39.9	N/A N/A N/A 78.2 64.7 80.4			
2010	3 4 5 6 7 8	N/A N/A N/A N/A 182 200 198	N/AV N/AV N/AV 97.3 97 96	N/A N/A N/A N/A 29.1 24.3 17.9	N/A N/A N/A N/A 36.7 50.8 54.3	N/A N/A N/A N/A 34.2 24.9 27.7	N/A N/A N/A 70.9 75.7 82.1			